



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

HAGERTY, JAMES E. *Mercantile Credit*. Pp. xiii, 382. Price, \$2.00. New York: Henry Holt and Company, 1913.

In discussing mercantile credit the author endeavors to combine a theoretical treatment with a view practical enough to attract the business man. The two parts of the book deal with (1) the origin, development and present status of mercantile credit and (2) legislation. In the first part the theory and history of credit are discussed, credit instruments are classified and the different kinds of credit described. A discussion of mercantile and personal credit is followed by a more concrete description of the work of the credit man, the credit office, sources of credit information, adjustment bureaus, collections, credits and credit men's associations. Part two treats bankruptcy legislation historically and descriptively. A discussion of early legislation is followed by chapters on the bankrupt law of 1800, the bankruptcy laws of 1841, 1867 and 1898, state insolvency legislation and finally laws regulating the sale of goods in bulk.

A distinct service has been rendered by the author in bringing together in one volume a discussion of a subject that had not hitherto been adequately treated. The work of the credit man and his service in the community are not fully appreciated and Dr. Hagerty's treatment of the subject is most welcome. It is unfortunate, however, that present methods of extending credit were not subjected to more careful analysis and criticism. At the present time description is not enough. Thorough scrutiny of our methods is imperative. Under the federal reserve act just passed there will be necessary a careful definition of commercial paper. This definition might be so worded, as to include only double name paper. If this were done present credit methods would be almost revolutionized. Before such a step is taken we should have a careful analysis of the methods now employed. If they are poor they should be abandoned but if an actual improvement over those used abroad they should be retained. It is unfortunate that we have as yet no adequate treatment of this extremely important question.

E. M. PATTERSON.

University of Pennsylvania.

HUNTER, ROBERT. *Violence and the Labor Movement*. Pp. xiv, 388. Price, \$1.50. New York: The Macmillan Company, 1914.

We have here a much-needed study of the history of terrorism in the labor movement. The various discussions of the I. W. W. have shown in their analyses of present conditions a lack of historical background. Mr. Hunter finds that the aims and methods of the radical groups throughout the world are but echoes of the pleas of the anarchists under Bakounin and his followers. The declaration of war between capital and labor, with its accompanying violence, and the organization of labor into "one big union" are but repetitions of old philosophy. The Marxians or parliamentarians have opposed them for almost a century. This opposition has not been based on moral grounds, but on a faith in economic law and on a realization of the impracticability of violence.

The clear historical narrative does not reflect the author's well-known parliamentary leanings. This impartiality breaks down, however, when he